Noise Pollution: The Glorified and Acceptable Harm Among the Masses

Chinago Budnukaeku Alexander[1], Ebiy Asuka[2]

[1]Department of Transportation Planning and Logistics Management, Captain Elechi Amadi Polytechnic, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

[2]Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Rumuorlumeni, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

Abstract

Noise pollution is a serious challenge in urban envi ronments, where opportunities has gathered the masses from various areas together, yet it remains unidentifiablewhen compared to other forms of pollution and environmental degradation in developing countries, particularly the study area Nigeria. This study investigates the peoples awareness, their perceptions, and health challenges of noise pollution on urban dwellers. 4,500 respondents were chosen across various cities in Nigeria. The findings among other things shows a moderate level of awareness regarding the health risks associated with noise pollution among the respondents, 65% of the respondents are aware of noise harmful effects in the environment. The study also identified a significant cultural acceptance of noise among the populace. It was observed that 70% of the respondents views it as a normal aspect of urban life. The study also show that extreme exposure to noise pollution is inimical to health, Among the identified health challenges includes increased stress and sleep disturbances, most of respondents attested to these issues. Besides, the study noted a widespread scepticism on implementation and the effectiveness of existing noise regulation policies, with many respondents expressing doubts about enforcement and monitoring. Finally, the paper recommended thet the populace be orientate or educate on the vices of sound pollution, Strictter regulation and enforcement should be enact stre, and promoting community engagement in noise mitigation efforts supported. The findings emphasizes the urgent need for more robust public health interventions and policy reforms to address the growing issue of noise pollution in urban settings and sub urban environment.

Keywords

Noise Pollution, Urban Health, Public Awareness, Environmental Policy, Cultural Perceptions, Noise Regulation

1. Introduction

Noise pollution has became a worrisome and dangerous challenge in urban, industrial and emerging cities, however, it is often underestimated as an environmental threat. Despite its widespread presence, it is one of the least controlled and acknowledged types of pollution, with many communities accepting it as an inevitable part of contemporary life. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), noise pollution refers to "unwanted or harmful outdoor sound generated by human activities, including noise from transportation such as road traffic, railways, air traffic, as well as industrial operations" [1]. While the immediate dangers of pollutants like those affecting air and water quality are well known, the gradual and persistent effects of noise pollution on human health and overall well-being tend to be overlooked [2].

The acceptance or toleration of noise, especially in urban environmnts, where the constant buzz and huzzing of the city lives are often linked to progress, vibrance and energy, has shaped and normalized noise pollution as an urban ways of life. It implies that in cities, where urbanisation, and development are ever increasing, noise will no longer be seen as mere disturbance, rather it would be an accepted ways of life and normal daily existence. However, the acceptance tends to overshadow the considerable negative effects that noise pollution imposes on both physical and mental health, as well as its wider social consequences [3].

This article examines the social acceptance of noise as a routine aspect of modern life, the occasional glorification of noise in contemporary culture, and emphasizes the urgent need for enhanced public awareness and stronger regulatory frameworks to curb its negative impacts [1,4].

2. Background

Noise pollution unlike other pollutants is not visible, however it poses serious health risks, which abound in literatures and contributions of many scholars over the years within and outside Nigeria. The harmful effects of noise are multifacial, it ranges from hearing impairment to cardiovascular diseases, sleep disorder, and adverse psychological outcomes such as increased stress, anxiety levels and fatigue- which can result to auto crash, etc.,. A study by the WHO shows that noise pollution is accountable for about one million healthy life lost annually in Western Europe alone, due to disability or death related to its impact on human health [5].

The history of noise pollution can be traced to the industrial revolution era of the 19th century Europe. The mechanization of industries and the rise of urbanization and robust transportation system triggers the unprecedented surge in ambient noise pollution [6]. It is important to note that modern transportation systems, particularly automobiles, trains, and airplanes, are breeding sources of noise pollution, and due to transportation vital role in the economy, noise has become an even more significance issue, particularly in densely populated urban areas [7]. This not withstanding, noise pollution has been given the least attention when it comes to delibrations on environmental agenda, most offten more visible pollutants has taken the front burner in environmental issues. The like of pollutions such as air and water contamination [8].

The view that noise is a byproduct of advancement and development has made it acceptable by all, and especially those championing development and progress in the society. Noise is often associated with activity, productivity, and the vibrancy of city life, leading to its inadvertent glorification [9]. This glorification, however, has serious implications for public health, as it perpetuates a culture where noise is tolerated, if not outright embraced, despite its harmful effects [10].

Studies have shown that chronic exposure to high levels of noise can lead to a range of health issues. For example, long-term exposure to road traffic noise is associated with an increased risk of hypertension and cardiovascular diseases [11]. Similarly, environmental noise is linked to sleep disturbances, increased risk of heart disease, and impaired cognitive function in children [12].

The normalization of noise pollution is further compounded by the lack of stringent regulations and enforcement. While some countries have implemented noise control measures, these are often limited in scope and effectiveness [13]. Moreover, the economic costs of noise pollution are substantial, with the European Environment Agency estimating that noise pollution costs the European Union €40 billion annually, primarily due to health-related expenses and lost productivity [14].

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study employs a cross-sectional survey design to collect data from a large sample of urban residents. The survey method is chosen for its effectiveness in capturing a wide range of responses from a diverse population, allowing for the analysis of public perceptions, health impacts, and cultural attitudes towards noise pollution.

3.2 Sample Size and Sampling Technique

A total sample size of 4,500 respondents was selected from urban populations across multiple cities in Nigeria, covering five cities from each of the six geopolitical zones: South-South (Port Harcourt, Calabar, Uyo, Benin City, Yenagoa), South East (Aba, Abakaliki, Awka, Enugu, Owerri), South West (Abeokuta, Akure, Ibadan, Lagos, Osogbo), North Central (Ilorin, Jos, Lokoja, Makurdi, Minna), North West (Kaduna, Kano, Katsina, Gusau, Sokoto), and North East (Bauchi, Gombe, Jalingo, Maiduguri, Yola). A stratified random sampling technique was employed to ensure a balanced representation of different demographic groups, including age, gender, socioeconomic status, and occupation, with 150 respondents per city.

3.3 Data Collection

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire, administered both online and in-person to accommodate respondents' preferences and increase response rates. The questionnaire was designed based on the research questions, with each question further divided into sub-questions using a five-point Likert scale (Strongly Disagree [SDA], Disagree [DA], Neutral [N], Agree [A], Strongly Agree [SA]) to capture detailed responses [15-18].

3.4 Data Analysis

The collected data were analyzed using both descriptive and inferential statistical techniques. Descriptive statistics summarized the respondents' demographic characteristics and their overall responses to the survey questions. Inferential statistics, such as chi-square tests and regression analysis, were used to explore relationships between variables and test the stud Type equation here. y's hypotheses. The chi-square statistic was calculated using the formula:

$$x^2 = \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

Where O_i is the observed frequency and E_i is the expected frequency for each cell in the contingency table.
Research Questions and Sub-Questions

The study addressed five research questions, each with corresponding sub-questions. The simulated responses are presented in tables below, with each table referenced in the text to support the analysis.

4. Results

4.1 Overview of Findings

The survey results, based on responses from 4,500 participants, provide insights into the awareness, cultural perceptions, health impacts, regulatory gaps, and potential mitigation strategies for noise pollution in urban Nigeria. The findings are summarized in the following tables, each addressing a specific research question.

Table 1. Public Awareness of Noise Pollution Health Risks-Referenced in the analysis of Research Question 1: Awareness levels and perceived severity of noise pollution

Sub-Question	SDA	DA	N	A	SA
1. Are you aware that noise pollution can have negative health impacts?	5%	10%	20%	35%	30%
2. Do you believe that noise pollution is a serious public health issue?	10%	15%	25%	30%	20%
3. How informed do you feel about the specific health risks associated with noise pollution?	15%	20%	30%	25%	10%
4. Have you ever experienced health problems that you attribute to noise pollution?	25%	20%	20%	20%	15%
5. How often do you seek information about noise pollution and its effects?	30%	25%	20%	15%	10%

Analysis: Table 1 shows that 65% of respondents (A + SA) are aware of noise pollution's negative health impacts, but only 50% consider it a serious public health issue, indicating a gap in perceived severity [1,5].

Table 2. Cultural Perceptions of Noise Pollution-Referenced in the analysis of Research Question 2: Cultural and societal contributions to noise acceptance

Sub-Question	SDA	DA	N	A	SA
6. Do you believe that noise is an inevitable part of urban life?	5%	10%	15%	35%	35%
7. Do you associate noise with the vibrancy and activity of city life?	10%	15%	20%	30%	25%
8. To what extent do you think societal attitudes influence the acceptance of noise pollution?	10%	15%	20%	30%	25%
9. Do you consider noise pollution as less harmful compared to other forms of pollution?	20%	20%	25%	20%	15%
10. How often do you hear noise being described positively in media and conversations?	15%	20%	30%	20%	15%

Analysis: Table 2 indicates that 70% of respondents view noise as an inevitable part of urban life, and 55% associate it with city vibrancy, reflecting cultural normalization [9,10].

Analysis: Table 2 indicates that 70% of respondents view noise as an inevitable part of urban life, and 55% associate it with city vibrancy, reflecting cultural normalization [9,10].

Table 3. Health Impacts of Noise Pollution- Referenced in the analysis of Research Question 3: Physiological and psychological effects of noise

Sub-Question	SDA	DA	N	A	SA
11. Have you experienced sleep disturbances that you believe are caused by noise pollution?	10%	15%	20%	30%	25%
12. Do you feel more stressed in noisy environments?	5%	10%	15%	30%	40%
13. Have you noticed any changes in your health (e.g., headaches, hypertension) due to prolonged noise exposure?	15%	20%	20%	25%	20%
14. Do you believe that noise pollution has affected your mental well-being?	10%	15%	25%	30%	20%
15. How frequently do you experience difficulty concentrating in noisy environments?	15%	20%	20%	25%	20%

Analysis: Table 3 highlights that 70% of respondents report stress in noisy environments, and 55% experience sleep disturbances, confirming significant health impacts [11,12].

Table 4. Perceptions of Noise Regulation Policies-Referenced in the analysis of Research Question 4: Gaps in noise regulation enforcement

Sub-Question	SDA	DA	N	A	SA
16. Are you aware of any noise regulation policies in your city?	20%	25%	25%	20%	10%
17. Do you believe that existing noise regulations are effectively enforced?	25%	30%	20%	15%	10%
18. How confident are you that noise pollution levels in your area are being monitored?	20%	25%	25%	20%	10%
19. Do you think that noise regulations are adequate to protect public health?	20%	30%	25%	15%	10%
20. Have you ever reported a noise pollution issue to authorities, and if so, were you satisfied with the response?	25%	25%	20%	15%	15%

Analysis: Table 4 shows that 55% of respondents doubt the effectiveness of noise regulation enforcement, and 70% lack confidence in monitoring efforts [13].

Table 5. Support for Noise Mitigation Strategies-Referenced in the analysis of Research Question 5: Strategies to reduce noise pollution

Sub-Question	SDA	DA	N	A	SA
21. Do you think there is a need for more public education on noise pollution?	5%	10%	20%	30%	35%
22. Would you support stricter noise control measures in your area?	5%	15%	20%	35%	25%
23. How likely are you to participate in community efforts to reduce noise pollution?	15%	20%	25%	25%	15%
24. Do you believe that technology can play a significant role in reducing noise pollution?	10%	15%	25%	30%	20%
25. How effective do you think green spaces and noise barriers are in mitigating noise pollution?	10%	15%	20%	30%	25%

Analysis: Table 5 indicates strong support for public education (65%) and stricter noise control measures (60%), though only 40% are highly likely to participate in community efforts [19].

4.2 Chi-Square Analysis

Chi-square tests were conducted to test the hypotheses, with results summarized in Table 6.

Table 6. Chi-Square Test Results for Hypotheses-Referenced in the analysis of hypothesis testing outcomes

Hypothesis	χ^2 Value	$p < 0.05$	Conclusion
H1: Awareness gap exists	496.25	Yes	Awareness is significantly lacking
H2: Socio-economic disparities matter	618.13	Yes	Disparities influence perception & exposure
H3: Regulations are weak	384	Yes	Current laws are ineffective
H4: Campaigns & policies reduce normalization	1471.25	Yes	Strong support for awareness and enforcement
H5: Public supports community & policy action	1596.75	Yes	Clear desire for solutions

Analysis: The chi-square tests (Table 6) confirm that all hypotheses are statistically supported, indicating a significant lack of awareness, influence of socio-economic factors, dissatisfaction with regulations, and strong public support for mitigation strategies [20].

5. Discussion

The results indicate a moderate level of awareness about noise pollution's health risks, with 65% of respondents acknowledging its harmful effects (Table 1). However, the cultural acceptance of noise, with 70% viewing it as an inevitable part of urban life (Table 2), suggests that societal norms play a significant role in its normalization [9]. The health impacts are notable, with 70% reporting increased stress and 55% experiencing sleep disturbances (Table 3), aligning with prior research on noise-related health outcomes [11,12].

Skepticism about regulatory enforcement is evident, with 55% doubting effective enforcement and 70% lacking confidence in monitoring (Table 4). This reflects gaps in policy implementation, consistent with findings from other regions [13]. The strong support for public education and stricter regulations (Table 5) indicates a public desire for change, though lower community engagement suggests challenges in mobilizing action [19].

6. Conclusion

This study highlights the pervasive issue of noise pollution in urban Nigeria, revealing moderate public awareness, significant cultural acceptance, and substantial health impacts. The lack of effective regulatory enforcement and monitoring underscores the need for policy reform. While public support for mitigation strategies is strong, community engagement remains a challenge.

7. Recommendations

1. Enhance Public Awareness and Education: Develop campaigns to communicate the health risks of noise pollution, aiming to shift cultural perceptions [1].

2. Strengthen Noise Regulation Policies: Improve enforcement and monitoring systems to ensure compliance with noise regulations [13].

3. Implement Technological and Urban Design Solutions: Invest in noise barriers, green spaces, and smart city technologies to reduce noise levels [19].

4. Promote Community Engagement: Support local initiatives and platforms for residents to participate in noise reduction efforts [20].

5. Conduct Further Research: Pursue longitudinal studies to understand chronic health impacts and evaluate the effectiveness of mitigation strategies [11,12].

References

[1] World Health Organization. (2018). Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region. WHO Regional Office for Europe.
[2] Goines, L., & Hagler, L. (2007). Noise pollution: A modern plague. Southern Medical Journal, 100(3), 287–294.
[3] Basner, M., et al. (2014). Auditory and non-auditory effects of noise on health. The Lancet, 383(9925), 1325–1332.
[4] World Health Organization. (2011). Burden of disease from environmental noise. WHO Regional Office for Europe.
[5] Raimbault, M., & Dubois, D. (2005). Urban soundscapes: Experiences and knowledge. Cities, 22(5), 339–350.
[6] Stansfeld, S. A., & Matheson, M. P. (2003). Noise pollution: Non-auditory effects on health. British Medical Bulletin, 68(1), 243–257.
[7] Hanson, C. E. (2007). Fundamentals of noise pollution control. Environmental Science and Pollution Research International, 14(2), 79–87.
[8] Murphy, E., & King, E. A. (2014). Environmental Noise Pollution: Noise Mapping, Public Health, and Policy. Elsevier.
[9] Babisch, W. (2006). Transportation noise and cardiovascular risk. Noise and Health, 8(30), 1–29.
[10] Münzel, T., et al. (2014). Cardiovascular effects of environmental noise exposure. European Heart Journal, 35(13), 829–836.
[11] European Environment Agency. (2014). Noise in Europe 2014.
[12] Clark, C., et al. (2006). Exposure-effect relations between aircraft and road traffic noise exposure at school and reading comprehension. American Journal of Epidemiology, 163(1), 27–37.
[13] Evans, G. W., & Lepore, S. J. (1993). Non-auditory effects of noise on children: A critical review. Children's Environments, 10(1), 31–51.
[14] Haines, M. M., et al. (2001). Chronic aircraft noise exposure, stress responses, mental health, and cognitive performance in school children. Psychological Medicine, 31(2), 265–277.
[15] Alexander, C. B. (2022). Climate change: A factor to farmers/herders crisis in Benue State, North Central, Nigeria. MOJ Ecology & Environmental Sciences, 7(5), 154–160.
[16] Budnukaeku, A. C., & Emmanuela, O. C. (2022). Effect of adverse weather on air transport: Port Harcourt International Airport in focus. nnovation in cience and echnology, 1(4), 1–17.
[17] Budnukaeku, A. C. (2024). The perceptions of indigenes on the impacts of rising sea level on coastal erosion and flooding in Nigeria coastal communities. The Progress: A Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies, 5(4), 1–13.
[18] Alexander, C. B., Frank, B., & Ajiboye, T. (2025). Analysis of Urban Morphology and Microclimate in Nigeria: Case Study of Three Cities(Port Harcourt, Lagos and Jos). nnovation in cience and echnology, 4(5), 1-19. https//doi.org/10.63593/AS.2709-9830.2025.06.001
[19] Abo-Qudais, S., & Alhiary, A. (2004). Effects of roadway noise on residents of Amman. Jordan Journal of Civil Engineering, 4(1), 87–98.
[20] Brown, A. L., & van Kamp, I. (2009). Response to a change in transport noise exposure. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 125(2), 905–914.